

**WILTON-LYNDEBOROUGH COOPERATIVE
SCHOOL BOARD MEETING
Tuesday, June 9, 2020
Lyndeborough Central School/Video Conferencing
6:30 p.m.**

Due to the state of emergency declared by the Governor regarding the COVID-19 outbreak, and subsequent Emergency Order #12, the Board Chair determined that the physical presence of a quorum within the time required for Board action is not reasonably practical and therefore authorized participation of Board members via videoconferencing/audio.

The videoconferencing link was published several places including on the meeting agenda along with the Superintendent's email to be used for written public comment.

Present: All participating remotely Alex LoVerme, Carol LeBlanc, Jonathan Vanderhoof, Mark Legere, Brianne Lavallee, Tiffany Cloutier-Cabral, Jim Kofalt, Charlie Post and Paul White

Superintendent Bryan Lane, Business Administrator Beth Baker, Principal Bob LaRoche, Director of Student Support Services Ned Pratt, Technology Director Mark Kline, Curriculum Coordinator Julie Heon, and Clerk Kristina Fowler

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman LoVerme called the meeting to order at 6:30pm.

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO THE AGENDA

Superintendent Lane reported an additional resignation and a correction to the agenda. Correction is that Jayne Lundwall should read Jill Lundstrom ABA Therapist who resigned, Jayne Lundwall did not resign. A short discussion was had regarding nonpublic and if there would be a need for this or not. Mr. Vanderhoof wanted to discuss the minutes. Superintendent reports that it can be done in public as long as you do not review the content, Mr. Post agrees. Superintendent notes you can discuss if you want to keep the nonpublic minutes sealed or not but reiterates you cannot discuss the content in public. Mr. Vanderhoof expressed he wants to discuss that there was never an intent to not seal the minutes. Superintendent responds there was a motion to seal the minutes. Superintendent suggest to leave nonpublic on the agenda and decide to use it or not at the Board's discretion. The intent is to discuss the minutes in nonpublic.

A MOTION was made by Mr. Post and SECONDED by Mr. Vanderhoof to accept the adjustments to the agenda. Voting: eight ayes; one abstention from Chairman LoVerme, motion carried.

III. PUBLIC COMMENTS

The public comment section of the agenda was read.

Superintendent called out the phone numbers and names joined in the meeting asking if they wanted to comment.

There was no public comment.

IV. BOARD CORRESPONDENCE

a. Reports

i. Superintendent's Report

Superintendent expressed being pleased with graduation; although warm weather it was well received by students and families. He voiced appreciation to Mr. Jim Irish who provided sound, St. John Neumann Church for providing the FM system at no cost, Christian Party Rental who provided staging and the Police Departments of Wilton and Lyndeborough. The live stream signal was lost but will have a video on flash drive for each graduate hopefully sometime this week and it will be posted on the website. He thanked the Milford Drive-in and congratulated the graduates. He thanked Ms. Schwab and Ms. Hanisch who he was out in the community with last week making deliveries to the Seniors (plastic flamingos and sunglasses). Staff from across the district participated in a debriefing

in regard to distance learning. To be more productive, there were 6 different groups discussing the same topic; the information will go out to the Board and public. We received a lot of feedback from parents but will reach out to them for anything further. Regarding restarting in the fall, there will be a district wide discussion during the week of June 15. He believes we will need to come up with couple of different plans as guidance from the state will not be coming until the end of June or early July and we need to be prepared for the possibility of distance learning as well. Additionally, we will meet again in August and invite parents. June 17 we will provide elementary math PD; there is commitment from more than half of the teachers. The open positions are listed in his report. There are 2 applicants for the business administrator position; he will send out resumes to the Board and ask for input. There is not a strong pool for curriculum coordinator applicants at this time and if there are no further candidates the Board will need to decide how to proceed. It was noted that some parents may not want to have their children return to school and enrollment may fluctuate. Some parents may be looking for a hybrid model/flexibility and we need to be prepared to offer that. Superintendent clarified that either with a hybrid model or students staying home, the student would still be attending and are still enrolled in school according to his discussions with the DOE. There are 48 students registered for kindergarten at this time. He confirms having a hybrid model or options will need to be part of the discussion for fall planning. If we have more guidance by early July we can survey the parents to determine how many want to have distance learning or a hybrid model and see how we can modify the structure to meet the need. It was noted the language of Ed 306 is being reviewed with a vote to happen on Thursday; do we need to update our policy if it passes. Superintendent responds if it is a directive from the Board of Education that supersedes our policy and we would need to comply. A question was raised regarding fall planning if we would be thinking of equipping the classrooms with video for those students who may be out of the classroom. Superintendent responds we may need to look at that depending on the numbers of students if there is equipment we need to purchase we would apply for reimbursement through the CARES act. It is important we have guidance in early July to strategize and implement whatever adjustments we need. A question was raised if Mr. Kline should start looking for equipment now as it is in short supply and need to be sure we have enough bandwidth to handle multiple classes. Superintendent will communicate with Mr. Kline. It was also suggested to drive the content from the Promethean boards in the classrooms to the tablets. A brief discussion was had regarding this. A question was raised if there is a possibility of the associated cost being reimbursed through the CARES. Superintendent confirms anything that deals with distance learning or CARES act could be applied for.

Mr. Vanderhoof expressed being interested in hearing the scenarios around the curriculum coordinator position. Superintendent explained without a quality candidate pool, we have some people in the district who are certified who we could offer 2 stipends; 1 for k-5 and 1 for 6-12 coordinator. If they are interested they would be ones to sustain the program, move it through the summer and work with PD throughout the school year. We have a sketch of what it would look like and who would take care of which grants. Mr. Vanderhoof questioned if we have funds based on our fuel and oil and new teacher contracts to increase the position to a .80 (instead of a .50) in the hopes to get a better candidate. Superintendent confirms we do have the savings. Mr. Vanderhoof suggests this should be a Board discussion sooner than later. Ms. Lavallee does agree with Mr. Vanderhoof given that during the discussions of decreasing the position they had been told there would be a good selection of applicants. No fault of anyone but it is disappointing it did not work out that way. She agrees we may need to be flexible. She is concerned with a teacher we have taking this on even with responsibilities being separated. She would like to see the plan laid out prior to making a decision. Superintendent spoke that hypothetically if it went to .80 the cost will increase by about \$36,000 with salary, FICA and health benefits. He confirmed there is savings of this amount within utility pieces. Discussion was had in the Finance Committee meetings that a similar model had been used in the past and feedback was not great. Superintendent believes one reason it was not successful is the person was doing K-12 and this creates an issue with travel and finding the time in the schedule. It is not the best option but without permission to increase the salary he didn't have a lot of other options. Theoretically, it is an additional 10 hours a week for someone and the concern is how effective will it be. Superintendent reiterated it would maintain the program but not advance it. A concern was raised that if this position is increased, people may say why don't we increase the gym teacher or guidance counselor. If this is increased isn't only fair to increase all of them. There had been a lot of feedback from the public on the reductions. Superintendent confirmed the position is posted on Edjobs, a free service run by NHBBA and educators are aware of this site. A question was raised if there is a consulting group we can approach as well. Superintendent replied there would be a financial piece to that but we could. Mr. Post asked this be pursued, Superintendent will look into this. Discussion was had regarding posting for the .80 to see what the pool of candidates would be. Superintendent noted if the position is increased to .80 and additional funding is needed, we would over expend the line and have to show how funds are made up from other areas as agreed to with the Budget Committee.

A MOTION was made by Mr. Legere and SECONDED by Ms. LeBlanc to direct the Superintendent to post for a .80 FTE curriculum coordinator and leave the .50 FTE position posted as well (to see what candidates apply).

Mr. Post would like to add the stipulation that we not move forward with hiring. Superintendent notes the motion does not say he can hire and no hiring would be done.

*Mr. Legere AMMNEDS the MOTION to include “without making an offer before the Board approves hiring”, Ms. LeBlanc SECONDED. **

A question was raised if an emergency meeting should be called if there ends up being a “rock star” candidate. Superintendent responded since the Board did not designate someone to approve hires over the summer, an emergency meeting would be called with a quorum and 24-hour notice.

**Voting: six ayes, two nays from Mr. Post and Mr. Kofalt, one abstention from Chairman LoVerme motion carried.*

Superintendent reports an offer was made to a MS counselor candidate who declined due to finding a full time position. They will continue to review applications. There are 30 applicants for kindergarten teacher and interviews will be June 17.

Discussion was had regarding Emergency Order #48 regarding IEP’s, distance learning and ESY. A question was raised when the teacher’s contracts officially end. Superintendent reports it is June 30 however they have completed their obligation with hours of instruction on June 5. A question was raised how many students may be affected by the ruling and if additional meetings are needed to be held, how does it affect us financially if the teachers need to come in. Mr. Pratt explained that during the last meeting with the DOE it was established additional meetings were not needed for ESY because we had met that standard with our meetings throughout the year. There was a question of about 6 families we “double backed” on and there was no interest in ESY. That part of the executive order we do not need to do anything else with. A question was raised if there have been any issues of staff not wanting to return due to COVID. Superintendent has not heard of this but we are still waiting for guidelines although there is concern particularly for those over the age of 60.

A question was raised if teachers had cleaned out their classrooms yet. Superintendent reports, that process was started in May; teachers came in for 2 days to work in their rooms with social distancing in place. Students are turning in their materials; most are back now. We are ahead on summer cleaning; teachers will be able to get back into their rooms earlier.

Responding to a question regarding Chromebooks, Superintendent reported we are sanitizing the Chromebooks first and have had less damage than when we were in the buildings (less dropping and no moving about the buildings). They will be fully assessed after they have been cleaned.

A brief discussion was had whether there were hand sanitizer stations throughout the buildings/on walls and if not are we thinking of having them. Superintendent reports we are dealing with the hydrostatic cleaning that kills bacteria on every surface. As far as hand sanitizing stations, we do not have them if we need to look at this they are in short supply now. The hydrostatic cleaning will go a long way to take care of that.

It was noted as we return to school, the nurses will have a huge impact on how the school day moves forward. We should consider offering them some training in developing protocols etc. particularly if it will be reimbursed. Superintendent responds a lot of that has been created for us already. We can work with that and the DOE will have some pieces to help also. We can look for some PD as well. The nurse we just hired had spoken about this in her interview and should be helpful with her background as an operating nurse; the 3 nurses can work together. We may need to buy more scanning thermometers if this will be a requirement to take everyone’s temperature as they walk into the buildings.

A question was raised if guidelines have been issued regarding what would determine an “outbreak” in the school if one were to start in our community as opposed to outlying towns and what is the protocol for handing that notification to parents. Superintendent reports the DOE has provided no guidance at this time. If we had a positive test every parent of students in that class will know someone tested positive; would it be to quarantine for 14 days, he doesn’t know. His level of confidence is extremely limited at this point that we will return to full instruction pending

the level of requirements we have. Chairman LoVerme spoke of at his place of employment the people that had a positive test went home but no one else did and they could not return until they had 2 negative tests it was about 4-5 weeks. Just because they quarantine doesn't mean the virus is gone. For everyone's safety, we will have to have guidelines that they would need 1 or 2 negative tests before returning. Ms. Lavallee, requests a protocol be in place prior to school starting and have guidelines from the Department of Education as well as the Department of Health as they sometimes have different guidelines and both should be taken into account prior to making decisions. Superintendent agrees and notes the Governor's task force is working with the Health Department state wide to come up with guidance.

A question was raised if we can ask the state for masks, they are in short supply and not every student will come in with one. Superintendent responds we will also need small sizes for young children; he has some concerns with younger students wearing them appropriately and what level of expectation can we have of them. There are a lot of pieces to this; once they tell us what to do we will have to figure out how to implement it appropriately.

Superintendent confirmed there will be nurse representation at the meeting in August and on the 17th and 18; these are online meetings as well.

b. Letters/Information

i. Afterschool Program

Superintendent reports the Nashua Adult Learning Center has completed a 2-year contract to provide services. A letter was provided indicating they did not have enough student participation to make it financially viable for them to continue. They did a really nice job for us but at this time they are withdrawing their services from our district. The Boys and Girls Club is still available to students; a lot of kids still went there. A question was raised to reach out to Tumble Weeds across the street from FRES to see if they are available to take more students. Superintendent reports he believes they have limitations on size with their childcare license. We only had 5 or 6 students going and he doesn't know if this will make a difference in anyone's scenario.

V. DISCUSSION-RETURNING TO PHYSICAL MEETINGS

This was a request to bring to the Board and is open for discussion. Mr. Vanderhoof spoke that the reason he brought this up was because logistically there may be board members who do not want to be at a meeting or members of the public who do not want to be at a meeting. If the majority of the Board is present while still being able to live stream this would be ideal. A question was raised if board members can have their laptops with them while still live streaming. Superintendent responds we can do that. Mr. Post added you cannot communicate with each other electronically. Superintendent notes all board members would need to be cognizant of that and all the communication would need to be public. Although he would need to check on it due to it may not be meeting the "emergency meeting" guidelines. He is not sure if there was a restriction on that as well as the phone format. Discussion was had regarding this and the restrictions of no more than 10 people, there is one more meeting in June and off the month of July unless there is a need, may be additional guidance after the 15th of June, communication seems to be better this way, it is easier for the public, if we need to wear masks and the public needs to wear masks it may be uncomfortable, this forum seems to be going well. Superintendent notes it appears there is consensus to have the next meeting with this format.

Discussion was had if the Board should meet in July. It was agreed to meet on Tuesday, July 14. We should have additional guidelines back by then plus parent feedback. Parent survey will go out after the meetings on 17th and 18th. At that time there will be additional discussion of returning to physical meetings. It was suggested to have Mr. Kline start planning for returning to the meetings and or a hybrid model. Superintendent reports if we are investigating for the classrooms it will not be a whole lot different; he will start that investigation. Discussion was had regarding using the Wilton Town Hall for meetings and it was noted the room may not be adequate and the video they have freezes up and you only get audio. It would be best to have our own solution.

VI. YTD EXPENSE REPORT & FOOD SERVICE

Ms. Baker provided an update on financials through May. In food service on the expense side we had a budget of \$230,000 and are projecting to end the year at \$213,310 leaving us under budget on food service by \$16,690. On the revenue side we are forecasting revenues of \$143,823 which is \$61,177 under our revenue budget. The net of these will result in a general fund transfer of approximately \$45,000. These are preliminary numbers through May.

General fund through May on the expense side we are under budget by \$579,115. This is a \$114,993 change in the positive from the May 18 forecast as encumbrances were mainly left for SPED of about \$98,000 and maintenance of about \$19,000. There are 62 purchase orders open with \$200,000 in encumbrances that remain right now, dispersed equitably across the district departments. Many are blanket PO's that need one additional payment and some are newer PO's we are waiting for invoices to pay. Regarding the revenue side, we are forecasting \$171,278 that we are over budget on revenues which is great. This is from additional SPED aid we received this year and remainder was from tuition from another district that was not budgeted for. Savings of 579,000 plus revenues of 171,000, we are looking at \$750,000 of a very early forecast of the unreserved fund balance. A question was raised if there is an estimate of what each household would get back based on that fund balance. Superintendent notes based on \$750,000, Lyndeborough is responsible for 29% of the budget and using \$250,000 as average home value (if that is still current) the average home would get a \$325 decrease and Wilton would be similar. A question was raised if the FRES barbeque cost (if they have it) would come out of this year's budget. Superintendent responds it would not because it is happening after July 1. It would come out of next year's budget. If we have a confirmed expenditure that we know will happen after July 1 and we purchase materials for it now he does not think the auditors would be comfortable with that because we are purposefully doing it. Ms. Baker agrees. Superintendent suggests it would make a good fund raiser.

Mr. Kofalt requested to have YTD reports in Excel. This will be provided to both Board and Budget Co. A question was raised what is the \$25,000 encumbrance (page 2) under books and other printed media for FRES. Superintendent responds it is the math curriculum, cost of \$25,000 for each year of a 2-year plan. We have purchased the first half now and because of great work from Dr. Heon we will only need to expense roughly \$5,000-\$6,000 in next year's budget which was part of the budget reduction agreed to by the Board.

Page 3, replacement equipment-FRES technology is over budget; one for \$8,406 and LCS for \$1389.75. Superintendent responds for FRES we are purchasing Chromebooks and will apply for CARES money which we may get back. He does not expect to over expend that account. Regarding the LCS encumbrance he will get back to the Board with that answer.

Page 10, contractual services-HS/MS, is over budget by \$3,200 and \$4,800. Ms. Baker responds this is for field maintenance. She has had trouble getting a response if we are using this or not. She does not think we will need all of this field maintenance and feels those encumbrances will go away but cannot say that definitively. Superintendent will get back to the Board on this.

Page 32, lumping together computer software and replacement equipment, are there particular expenses running those numbers up; it seems like significant numbers computer software. Superintendent responds we don't anticipate over spending the account; these are pieces from the technology audit and are things we are required to do to meet SB 1612. We had an audit and these are software packages that we will need to purchase in order to meet the law. A question was raised if any of these items we are discussing purchasing for next year that we are making on this year's budget. Superintendent, replacing Chromebooks that we know are old; no, they will be used for summer school. Ms. Baker added, there was a recent order for curriculum but it was a long standing open purchase order, the intent to spend was there and was made early in the year but only recently ordered. To clarify the question, from an auditing standpoint are there any issues, Ms. Baker responded no.

Regarding food service, are there any additional expenses related to COVID that may be refunded through CARES act. Ms. Baker confirms no additional expense related to COVID; what hit the program hard was the revenue that we didn't receive from sales. There was food that had been ordered previously which was used. She had reached out to see if it could be part of CARES funds and it is not an acceptable use. There was not any food or inventory lost. Superintendent confirms there was no transportation related as the Superintendent was delivery the meals. We did not go over in salaries, it was a fixed expense and staff was paid. The issue is we did not get the revenue from food sales.

VII. ACTION ITEMS

a. Approve Minutes of Previous Meeting

A question was raised if there is a process for approving the nonpublic minutes; there are minutes we voted to seal but we never unseal them. Superintendent responds, you would need to vote to unseal them. It was noted this came up in a recent NHSBA training session; some districts do have a subsequent nonpublic session as part of the next

board meeting to approve the nonpublic minutes. They are distributed, not mailed in the packets. It was suggested to obtain recommendations from NHSBA. Superintendent will reach out to the attorney at NHSBA for guidance.

A MOTION was made by Mr. Vanderhoof and SECONDED by Ms. LeBlanc to approve the minutes of May 20, 2020 as written.

Voting: seven ayes; two abstentions from Mr. Post and Chairman LoVerme, motion carried.

A MOTION was made by Mr. Vanderhoof and SECONDED by Ms. LeBlanc to approve the minutes of May 26, 2020 as written.

Voting: six ayes; three abstentions from Mr. Post, Mr. White and Chairman LoVerme, motion carried.

It was confirmed that Mr. Kofalt and Mr. White were not present at the nonpublic session for May 28, 2020 and will be amended.

Mr. Vanderhoof voiced there had been a lot of discussion around the motion (in nonpublic) and had he been advised the motion would not be in the public minutes, he would have made it in public session. The expectation was that it would be public and the rest of the meeting was nonpublic and he believes the minutes should reflect this. Superintendent notes he communicated that to the Board that it would be public and did not consider the minutes being sealed until later; that procedural piece was an error. He is unsure how to proceed at this point. Ms. Lavallee and Mr. Post agree it was their understanding as well it would be recorded in the public minutes. Superintendent will seek guidance from NHSBA on how to proceed to have minutes reflect the intent of the Board and bring this back to the next meeting.

A MOTION was made by Mr. Vanderhoof and SECONDED by Ms. Lavallee to table the minutes of May 28, 2020.

Voting: seven ayes; two abstentions from Mr. Kofalt and Chairman LoVerme, motion carried.

b. Strategic Planning Committee Membership

Mr. White was nominated to be on the committee; he accepted. Ms. LeBlanc and Ms. Cloutier-Cabral agree to continue on the committee.

A MOTION was made by Mr. Legere and SECONDED by Ms. Lavallee that Mr. White, Ms. LeBlanc, and Ms. Cloutier-Cabral will be part of the Strategic Planning Committee.

Voting: seven ayes; two abstentions from Mr. White and Chairman LoVerme, motion carried.

Chairman LoVerme notes they will need to establish a Chair for this committee when they meet.

VIII. RESIGNATIONS / APPOINTMENTS / LEAVES

a. Resignations

i. Ann Harkleroad-FRES Instructional Aide/SPED Aide

ii. Jill Lundstrom-LCS ABA Therapist

Superintendent reviewed the resignations noting on the agenda, Ms. Jayne Lundwall should read Ms. Jill Lundstrom, ABA therapist. There was an additional resignation from Mr. Malin Segal, PE teacher who chose not to sign his contract. Superintendent confirms the position has been posted for .80 with one applicant.

IX. PUBLIC COMMENTS

The public comment section of the agenda was read.

Superintendent called out all the phone numbers and names joined in the meeting asking if they wanted to comment.

Mr. Adam Lavallee spoke regarding when Ms. Baker was hired and the School Board interviewed her there was question why the Budget Committee was not involved in hiring the Business Administrator as they depend on this person to get them the data to do budgeting. He is hoping they can at least submit questions or participate in the process the School Board did last time. Superintendent notes he does not believe under the statute the Budget Committee has interviewing rights although the School Board has discretion on who would be on an interview committee although they could not have a vote in the process.

Ms. Lavallee spoke that when we hire the principals we ask people to provide questions for the team to utilize, can we do something like that. Superintendent responded yes, it is at the Board's discretion. Questions could be sent to Mr. Vanderhoof as the Board's liaison.

Mr. Post believes it a great idea and suggested to have them sit in on or pre-interview the candidates; we should be collaborating with them. Superintendent reiterated they can be part of the process but cannot be part of the final vote to move the candidate to the final position. We could create a rubric scenario like we did with the Principal. Superintendent notes it does not need a vote, it is a process and can be agreed to by consensus.

A MOTION was made by Mr. Kofalt and SECONDED by Mr. Vanderhoof to invite the Budget Committee to designate a member of the Budget Committee to sit in on the interview process of the Business Administrator.

There was further discussion including defining this further, should there be a committee with the Budget Committee on it, do we want to get input from Chair and Vice Chair, the candidates could meet with Budget Committee and provide feedback, should we have the Budget Committee provide input through Mr. Vanderhoof, would they prefer to have the top 3 candidates to interview, the larger the group the less time the Board can interview the person, if the Budget Committee does it separately they will have more time with the candidates, and do you want to modify the vote to have more than one Budget Committee person. Mr. Lavallee notes the Budget Committee wants to at least ask questions and be part of the interview process; they meet next week and they can discuss it. Superintendent's hope is to interview next week or shortly and we can schedule it after the Budget Committee meets. With the current pool, they will go right to the Board. Mr. Vanderhoof requests either way it should be a motion to be sure action is taken.

Ms. LeBlanc pointed out that the whole conversation started with a question from public comment and we usually say this is time for questions not reaction from the Board or Superintendent. She suggests it be on the agenda to have further discussion as part of the agenda. Chairman notes by the time we meet again that person should have been interviewed. Superintendent confirms a separate meeting will be held to interview. Further discussion took place including if there was a meeting on the June 18 multiple interviews could take place and as part of the agenda the Board could vote to approve questions and/or member participation from the Budget Committee and this way the public has awareness. Mr. Post spoke that while it is public comment, the person who brought it up is an elected official and is the Budget Committee liaison to the Board and two of them are in attendance tonight. Technically it could have been on the agenda but also the Board could make the decision to vote on it tonight and thinks they should. Ms. Lavallee points out that it is noted in the Superintendent's Report which is on the agenda. Superintendent clarifies it can be part of the agenda because it is in his report and therefore can be part of the conversation; atypical but not inappropriate. Chairman adds, due to the timing and providing enough time for the Budget Committee to prepare, a vote tonight should be taken.

*Mr. Kofalt AMMENDS his MOTION to be "the Board would invite the Budget Committee to designate one member of the Budget Committee to participate in the interview process for the position of Business Administrator. Mr. Vanderhoof SECONDS. ***

For clarification the purpose of inviting one member is to not prolong the process.

Ms. Lisa Post spoke that it is a good idea to include a member of the Budget Committee as when the Finance Committee was formed there were quite a few members of the Budget Committee upset they were not included. We need to work with this person and we may have questions that differ from the School Board's.

***Voting: seven ayes; one abstention from Chairman LoVerme, motion carried.*

Ms. Post questioned that the Superintendent indicated for the town of Lyndeborough using the \$750,000 as an overage of unencumbered funds would leave a \$300 per household tax increase but believes this should be decrease not increase. Superintendent confirms it would be a decrease; this is a preliminary number and the number may change. Ms. Post is aware it is just an estimate. She questioned if the Superintendent expects the revenue to change. He will get back to her on this. Regarding food service, she questioned if there is anything that was done above and beyond someone's job that would not have been done that could be covered by the COVID funds. Superintendent confirms no one worked more hours than they were contracted for and no one did a job that they would not otherwise do.

Mr. Lavallee asked that the Budget Committee gets the Excel version of YTD. It will be sent to them as well.

X. SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

Mr. Vanderhoof spoke that he was glad we were able to get graduation in and thanked all who worked on that; it went off pretty well. He saw an online talent show that some of the elementary school kids participated in and thanked all the teaches and staff who helped pull it off; it was fun to watch.

Mr. Post congratulated the graduates; it was exciting to see the procession of fire trucks with their sirens on to congratulate the 2 seniors on our road. Neighbors not seen in a while came out of quarantine to cheer them on. "Mr. Lane it was a great thing to do."

Ms. LeBlanc spoke regarding graduation and agrees with Jonathan; kudos to all involved it was so enjoyable. As far as the public comment, we need to be sure we are not setting some sort of precedent, she agrees it was an exception but we need to clarify for ourselves and the public regarding how much interaction they can expect during public comment.

Ms. Lavallee congratulated all the graduates; they did an amazing job. She acknowledges it was very hard and they missed out on a lot of normal activities. The District and Superintendent did a great job pulling something together; it went really well. She thanked all the staff and congratulated all for completing distance learning! Mr. Shenk did a really great job trying to pull together a Field Day for the kids; he was animated and the students were engaged. She thanked all of the retiring employees and Ms. Baker.

Mr. Kofalt congratulated all the graduates and kudos to Superintendent Lane and staff for coming up with a creative solution and holding a great graduation.

Ms. Cloutier-Cabral congratulated the seniors and also thanked all who worked on any of the end of year scenarios. It was great to see the videos. Thank you to all who made it all possible and bringing it to us.

Chairman LoVerme congratulated the graduates and wished them all luck moving forward whether they are going into the workforce, military or college. He thanked the Milford police officer at the drive-in who blocked traffic for graduation. He voiced appreciation for the Superintendent working hard and pulling off graduation. There were some tears shed from some retirees. He thanked Dr. Heon for her service with the District and Principal Bagley for his tenure with the district and wished him luck moving forward. He thanked the Board for making these meetings in the second half of the year possible. He wants on the next agenda a protocol to have someone from the Budget Committee involved in anything that has to do with finances.

Superintendent asked if the Board has a need for the nonpublic session; no need was expressed.

XI. NON-PUBLIC SESSION RSA 91-A: 3 II (A) (C)

The nonpublic session was not held.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

A MOTION was made by Mr. Kofalt and SECONDED by Ms. LeBlanc to adjourn the Board meeting at 8:31pm. Voting: eight ayes; one abstention from Chairman LoVerme, motion carried.

*Respectfully submitted,
Kristina Fowler*